arXiv:1510.03922v3 [cond-mat.stat-mech] 29 Jan 2016

Approach to Equilibrium of a Restricted Class of Isolated Quantum Systems After a Quench

E. Solano-Carrillo
Department of Physics, Columbia University, New York, NY 10027, USA

We prove the approach to equilibrium of quenched isolated quantum systems for which the change in the Hamiltonian brought about by the quench satisfies a certain closed commutator algebra with all the integrals of motion of the system before the quench. The proof is carried out by following the exact unitary evolution of the entropy operator, defined as the negative of the logarithm of the nonequilibrium density matrix, and showing that, under the conditions implied by the assumed algebra, this entropy operator becomes, at infinite times, a linear combination of integrals of motion of the perturbed system. That is, we show how the nonequilibrium density matrix approaches a generalized Gibbs ensemble. The restricted class of systems for which the present results apply turn out to have degenerate spectra in general, as opposed to some generic systems for which a kind of ergodicity is expected, with a nontrivial dynamics believed to find instances in superintegrable systems of which many-body systems with accidental degeneracies are examples. Our findings constitute a direct demonstration of how a non-ergodic isolated quantum system may get to statistical equilibrium.

I. INTRODUCTION

It is of great interest at present to understand what happens, after a sufficiently long time, when an isolated quantum many-body system which is prepared in a certain initial state is suddenly altered (quenched). This situation can be studied experimentally, e.g. in ultracold atomic gases [1–5] where a high degree of isolation can be achieved as well as an unprecedented tunability of the interactions. The experiments show that some observables approach stationary values that are consistent with the expectations taken with equilibrium statistical ensembles. This has triggered a considerable amount of theoretical research [6–9], and it is a common belief now that generic systems thermalize [10–12] in the sense that they approach to equilibrium regardless of the initial states, while integrable systems attain stationary values described by generalized Gibbs ensembles [13], where the system remembers the initial conditions by means of all nontrivial integrals of motion.

Following the spirit of statistical mechanics, a substantial theoretical activity is switching toward understanding the most appropriate statistical ensembles describing the long-time behavior of each system of interest instead of solving the complicated nonequilibrium dynamics. This then takes for granted that the asymptotic behavior of the system can well be described by a steady-state quantum statistical ensemble so if this representation is to be *unique* and an ensemble description is to be unambiguously valid at all times, as originally advocated by Gibbs [14], the natural question arises of how a trajectory in the space of probability density operators can lead to a steady-state operator for isolated systems, defined as those whose density matrices evolve according to the Liouville-von Neumann equation.

In order to fully justify the current trend to understand the nonequilibrium dynamics of isolated quantum systems, it therefore remains to be shown, and this was briefly discussed by the author in [15], whether it is possible that the unitary evolution of an isolated quantum system can bring the density matrix along a path whose asymptotics coincides with a steady-state density matrix. Attempts to show this on a general basis [16, 17] have required the assumption of the existence of a physical relaxation process in the system causing correlation functions to decay in time. Although this might be the case for special closed systems for which some parts serve as effective reservoirs for the other parts, which is not always the case as exemplified by systems with many-body localization [18], an exact derivation free of these kind of assumptions is of considerable interest.

In this paper we point to the existence of a class of isolated quantum systems prepared in initial equilibrium states, whose nonequilibrium density matrices can be rigorously shown to approach, after a quench, to steady-state density matrices of the generalized Gibbs exponential form. The restricted class of systems for which the proof follows is defined by an algebraic property (stated in (6)) satisfied by the change in Hamiltonian brought about by the quench. The proof uses the unitary evolution of the entropy operator [19], defined as the negative of the logarithm of the density matrix, from which a theory of entropy production in quantum many-body systems can be established as the quantum-mechanical basis for nonequilibrium thermodynamics. Even though specific physical examples for which the present formalism applies are not worked out, what we conclude here is that, to our surprise, a unitary evolution can really lead to equilibration.

This paper is organized as follows: in section II we introduce the initial states and the property, (6), of the quench from which the equilibration of the isolated quantum systems follows; then we proceed in section III to the proof of the approach of the entropy operator to a linear combination of constants of motion of the quenched system at infinite times. A discussion is made in section IV of the consequences of (6) regarding the general nature

of the systems and quenches for which the present results apply, specifically the degeneracy of the unperturbed stationary states, and a comparison with the equilibration on average of some generic quantum system is made. In section V an illustration of the formalism is made and, finally, we conclude with section VI.

II. INITIAL STATES AND QUENCH PROTOCOL

We consider an isolated system with Hamiltonian $\hat{H}_0$, which at time $t = 0^-$ is in statistical equilibrium, described by the density matrix

$$\hat{\rho}_0 = \exp\left(-\sum_n \hat{S}_n^0\right), \tag{1}$$

where the $\hat{S}_n^0$'s are a set of integrals of motion of the dynamics generated by $\hat{H}_0$, i.e.

$$[\hat{H}_0, \hat{S}_n^0] = 0. \tag{2}$$

The type of initial states embodied by (1) include, e.g., the Gibbs grand canonical ensemble, in which case

$$\hat{S}_1^0 = \hat{H}_0/T, \quad \hat{S}_2^0 = -\mu\hat{N}/T, \quad \hat{S}_3^0 = -\Omega\,\hat{1}/T, \tag{3}$$

with T the temperature of a reservoir in contact with the system for times $t < 0$, μ the chemical potential of the group of particles comprising the system, with number operator $\hat{N}$, and Ω the grand potential.

We shall use in this paper the term generalized Gibbs ensemble (GGE) when the set of integrals of motion has, in general, more elements than described above, with the Gibbs ensemble being just a particular case. Having fixed the number of integrals of motion, D, we call

$$\hat{S}_0 = \sum_n \hat{S}_n^0 = \boldsymbol{\theta}^0 \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{S}}^0, \tag{4}$$

the initial *entropy operator*, with $\boldsymbol{\theta}^0$ the D-dimensional vector of ones, and $\hat{\boldsymbol{S}}^0$ the D-dimensional vector with the n^{th} component given by $\hat{S}_n^0$. Our initial equilibrium states, represented by density matrices of the form

$$\hat{\rho}_0 = \exp(-\hat{S}_0), \tag{5}$$

are then a subset of those mixed states which can be obtained as a result of equilibration after the system was manipulated in the remote past for the sake of initial preparation.

At time $t = 0$, the system is suddenly altered by a perturbation $\hat{V}$ and is left alone evolving with the new Hamiltonian $\hat{H} = \hat{H}_0 + \hat{V}$. The defining property of the restricted class of systems and quenches dealt with here is that the following commutator algebra, which we term closed, is satisfied

$$i[\hat{V}, \hat{S}_n^0] = \sum_m \Gamma_{nm}\, \hat{S}_m^0, \tag{6}$$

with Γ a symmetric and positive semi-definite $D \times D$ real matrix. Regardless of whether or not a system and a quench are easily found in practice to obey this property, our aim is to show that the subsequent unitary evolution does lead to equilibration. Furthermore, we show that the equilibrium state attained after the quench is a GGE with the constants of motion of the dynamics generated by the final Hamiltonian $\hat{H}$.

III. PROOF OF THE APPROACH TO EQUILIBRIUM

The density matrix for times $t \geq 0$ can be conveniently written as [19]

$$\hat{\rho}_t = \exp(-\hat{S}_t), \tag{7}$$

where the entropy operator $\hat{S}_t$ satisfies, just as the density matrix does, the von Neumann equation, whose solution is

$$\hat{S}_t = e^{-i\hat{H}t}\hat{S}_0 e^{i\hat{H}t}. \tag{8}$$

This can be easily proved by substituting (8) in (7), expanding in a power series, and realizing that we get the known unitary evolution $\hat{\rho}_t = e^{-iHt}\hat{\rho}_0 e^{iHt}$. The expectation value of the entropy operator gives the von Neumann entropy, however, it is only the thermodynamic entropy operator, obtained from $\hat{S}_t$ by taking its diagonal part in the energy representation, which can be related to a nonequilibrium entropy in accordance with thermodynamics [19].

To get a simple derivation of our results, we introduce the notation $\mathcal{L}_A \equiv [\hat{A}, \cdot]$ for Liouvillians. We can then write, in matrix notation,

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{L}_{H_0}\hat{\boldsymbol{S}}^0 = 0, \\ &i\mathcal{L}_V\hat{\boldsymbol{S}}^0 = \Gamma \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{S}}^0, \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

which come from (2) and (6), as well as

$$\hat{S}_t = e^{-i\mathcal{L}_H t}\hat{S}_0. \tag{10}$$

The proof now follows by using the Dyson decomposition, valid also for Liouvillians [20],

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-i\mathcal{L}_H t} = 1 + (-i)\int_0^t dt_1\, e^{i\mathcal{L}_{H_0}(t_1-t)}\mathcal{L}_V e^{-i\mathcal{L}_{H_0}t_1} \\ + (-i)^2\int_0^t dt_1 \int_0^{t_1} dt_2\, e^{i\mathcal{L}_{H_0}(t_1-t)}\mathcal{L}_V e^{i\mathcal{L}_{H_0}(t_2-t_1)} \\ \times \mathcal{L}_V e^{-i\mathcal{L}_{H_0}t_2} + \cdots. \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

By using (9) and $\int_0^t dt_1 \int_0^{t_1} dt_2 \cdots \int_0^{t_{k-1}} dt_k = t^k/k!$, we have for the entropy operator

$$\hat{S}_t = \boldsymbol{\theta}^0 \cdot e^{-\Gamma t} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{S}}^0. \tag{12}$$

Since Γ is real and symmetric, it is diagonalized by an orthogonal transformation M,

$$M^T \cdot \Gamma \cdot M = \mathrm{diag}(\gamma_1, \cdots, \gamma_D), \tag{13}$$

where T denotes transpose. Introducing the transformed vectors

$$\boldsymbol{\theta}^e = M^T \cdot \boldsymbol{\theta}^0, \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{\boldsymbol{S}}^e = M^T \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{S}}^0, \tag{14}$$

we can rewrite the entropy operator as

$$\hat{S}_t = \sum_n \theta_n^e \, e^{-\gamma_n t} \, \hat{S}_n^e, \tag{15}$$

where the γ_n's are the (non-negative) eigenvalues of Γ.

A. GGE of the quenched system

If Γ has a subset of zero eigenvalues labeled by the index r, we have from (7) and (15) in the infinite-time limit

$$\hat{\rho}_\infty = \exp(-\textstyle\sum_r \theta_r^e \hat{S}_r^e). \tag{16}$$

We now prove that the $\hat{S}_r^e$'s are integrals of motion of the quenched system, i.e.

$$[\hat{H}, \hat{S}_r^e] = 0. \tag{17}$$

From (9) and (14) we have

$$i\mathcal{L}_H \hat{S}_r^e = i\mathcal{L}_V (M^T \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{S}}^0)_r = \sum_n (M^T \cdot \Gamma)_{rn} \, \hat{S}_n^0. \tag{18}$$

Using (13) in the form $M^T \cdot \Gamma = \mathrm{diag}(\gamma_1, \cdots, \gamma_D) \cdot M^T$ we get

$$(M^T \cdot \Gamma)_{rn} = \gamma_r M_{nr} = 0, \tag{19}$$

the last equality because $\gamma_r = 0$ by definition. Substituting in (18) we get the desired result, (17). This shows that the steady-state density matrix (i.e. $[\hat{H}, \hat{\rho}_\infty] = 0$) is a GGE as defined here. The number of integrals of motion of the quenched system, which is the same as the number of zero eigenvalues of Γ is then, in the nontrivial cases where $[\hat{V}, \hat{H}_0] \neq 0$, less than in the unperturbed system, which expresses the fact that $\hat{V}$ has to be necessarily a symmetry-breaking perturbation.

IV. DISCUSSION

Our conclusions may seem contradictory at first sight since the full density matrix, in the basis of stationary states of the perturbed system has off-diagonal oscillating terms, but nothing has been said so far about the spatial extension of the system. For a finite system, the density matrix rotates as a vector in Liouville space giving rise to quantum recurrences [15], but for an infinite system the situation is not that clear. It is known that the sole operation of taking the thermodynamic limit may lead [21] to an effective dissipation mechanism in isolated systems (quasiparticles dissipating energy infinitely far away), making, e.g., the poles in the self-energy to merge into a branch cut.

We remark that it is precisely this limit of infinitely large systems and the presence of self-energy effects that allow the formulation of a rigorous theory for the approach to equilibrium in quantum statistics [22–27]. In this theory, off-diagonal oscillating terms in the density matrix are shown to be negligibly small [28, 29] (thermodynamic limit required) after evaluating the *asymptotic* time integrals involved when a diagramatic expansion with respect to the perturbation deviating the system from equilibrium is performed, instead of just a representation of the density matrix in the basis of eigenstates of the perturbed Hamiltonian.

We now show that our formalism has inherent in (6) the fact that the equilibration of our restricted quantum systems take place only if these are infinite. For this, note that $\hat{S}_1^0 \propto \hat{H}_0$, and then the first equation in (6) may be rewritten by making use of the Heisenberg equation for the perturbing potential $\hat{V}$ at time $t = 0$, that is, $(\partial \hat{V}/\partial t)_{t=0} = i[\hat{V}, \hat{H}_0]$, obtaining

$$(\partial \hat{V}/\partial t)_{t=0} = -\sum_m \bar{\Gamma}_{1m} \, \hat{S}_m^0, \tag{20}$$

where Γ_{1m} has been rescaled to $\bar{\Gamma}_{1m}$. Due to the sudden nature of the quench, the operator $(\partial \hat{V}/\partial t)_{t=0}$ has a delta singularity and then it is unbounded. Since the operators $\hat{H}_0$ and $\hat{N}$ contained in the set $\{\hat{S}_n^0\}$ (up to a constant), and possibly others, represent extensive quantities, i.e. scaling with the volume of the system, we conclude that the thermodynamic limit has to be considered *ab initio* to make the $\hat{S}_n^0$'s unbounded operators on Hilbert space, assuming a bounded Γ matrix.

The necessity of unbounded operators can better be seen by multiplying (6) by M_{nl} and summing over n, so that we can rewrite the assumed commutator algebra, (6), in the simplified form

$$i[\hat{V}, \hat{O}_l] = \gamma_l \hat{O}_l, \tag{21}$$

where the operators $\hat{O}_l = \sum_{n=1}^D M_{nl} \hat{S}_n^0$ are hermitian and different from the null operator. Now, our results are nontrivial as long as Γ has at least one nonzero eigenvalue, say $\gamma_j > 0$. Taking the expectation value of (21), with $l = j$, in an eigenstate of $\hat{O}_j$ with nonzero eigenvalue

$$\hat{O}_j |\Psi\rangle = \lambda |\Psi\rangle, \qquad \lambda \neq 0, \tag{22}$$

the following apparent contradiction is then obtained

$$0 = i\langle\Psi|[\hat{V}, \hat{O}_j]|\Psi\rangle = \gamma_j \langle\Psi|\hat{O}_j|\Psi\rangle \stackrel{?}{=} \gamma_j \lambda. \tag{23}$$

This is, however, of the same nature of the result obtained when we evaluate the expectation value of the canonical commutation relations for the position and momentum operators, $\hat{q}$ and $\hat{p}$ respectively, of a particle with one degree of freedom

$$[\hat{q},\hat{p}] = i\hbar\,\hat{1}, \tag{24}$$

in an eigenstate, say, of the position operator $\hat{q}$, with non-zero eigenvalue

$$0 = \langle q|[\hat{q},\hat{p}]|q\rangle = i\hbar\,\langle q|q\rangle \stackrel{?}{=} i\hbar, \tag{25}$$

which, of course, does not imply that the canonical commutation relations are invalid. They just do not have solution for finite-dimensional spaces (unless $\hbar = 0$), or for either $\hat{q}$ or $\hat{p}$ being *bounded* operators [30, 31]. This is the same situation that we encounter here and, as with the well-known case of the states $|q\rangle$ not being normalizable and then invalidating the last equality in (25), the unbounded property of the operators $\hat{O}_l$ means that the vectors $\hat{O}_l|\Psi\rangle$ in (22) may not be normalizable and then the last equality in (23) is also invalid.

Returning to the discussion of the previous section, we have shown, from (7) and (15) the approach to equilibrium of systems satisfying the conditions in (9). Note that Γ has to be a singular matrix, because otherwise it would have no zero eigenvalues, making $\hat{\rho}_\infty = \hat{1}$, and then violating the conservation of probabilities. This singular nature of Γ arises by assuming that the integrals of motion $\{\hat{S}^0_n\}$ are linearly independent since, using the convention that $\hat{S}^0_D \propto \hat{1}$ (the proportionality constant evaluated from $\mathrm{Tr}\,\hat{\rho}_0 = 1$), this linear independence implies, from (6), that $\Gamma_{D,n} = \Gamma_{n,D} = 0$ for all n, making

$$\det(\Gamma) = 0. \tag{26}$$

It can easily be seen, however, that this only guarantees the existence of *one* zero eigenvalue, which is responsible for the trivial appearance of $\hat{S}^0_D$ as a term in (15), so an additional property has to be sought in order to instantiate our main findings.

Denoting with $\{|\alpha\rangle\}$ the stationary states of the unperturbed system, if the set $\{\hat{S}^0_n\}$ is in involution, i.e. its elements are *mutually* commuting observables, we have

$$\hat{S}^0_n|\alpha\rangle = S^0_{n,\alpha}|\alpha\rangle, \tag{27}$$

and then the matrix elements of (6) are

$$i(S^0_{n,\alpha} - S^0_{n,\beta})\langle\beta|\hat{V}|\alpha\rangle = \langle\beta|\alpha\rangle \sum_m \Gamma_{nm} S^0_{m,\alpha}. \tag{28}$$

This expression implies the system of equations

$$\sum_m \Gamma_{nm} S^0_{m,\alpha} = 0, \tag{29}$$

which, due to (26), has an infinite number of solutions for the set $\{S^0_{m,\alpha}\}$ of *values* taken by the integrals of motion in the stationary state $|\alpha\rangle$.

We observe from (28) that the unperturbed stationary states are, in general, degenerate. Let us add the subindex $a = 1, 2, \cdots$ to indicate the different blocks of degeneracy, i.e. $|\alpha_a\rangle$ and $|\beta_{a'}\rangle$ are in different blocks if $a \neq a'$. Then, from (28) and (29) we have

$$S^0_{n,\beta_a} = S^0_{n,\alpha_a}, \quad \text{for all } n, \tag{30}$$

as well as the block-diagonal property

$$\langle\beta_{a'}|\hat{V}|\alpha_a\rangle = 0, \quad \text{for } a \neq a', \tag{31}$$

i.e. the perturbation $\hat{V} = \hat{H} - \hat{H}_0$ does not connect unperturbed stationary states belonging to different blocks of degeneracy. The restricted class of systems then have the characteristic of having *degenerate* spectra, which may happen if the set of integrals of motion $\{\hat{S}^0_n\}$ specifying the initial state does not form a complete mutually commuting set.

Note that, within the subspaces of degeneracy, any linear combination of the eigenstates is still an unperturbed stationary state. This means that we can change the basis so as to make $\hat{V}$ diagonal within each block of degeneracy, showing in this case, that the quenches satisfying (6) are only those in which $\hat{V}$ is a linear combination of the elements in $\{\hat{S}^0_n\}$, and then having trivial dynamics with a vanishing Γ matrix.

A nontrivial dynamics might still be found, however, if not all the integrals of motion of the unperturbed system are in involution (as they are e.g. in integrable systems). This occurs, for instance, in systems with accidental degeneracies which are examples of a more general class of systems called superintegrable [32], which allow more integrals of motion than degrees of freedom. The study of quench dynamics in nonabelian integrable models has been pioneered in Refs [33, 34].

In the cases in which not all the integrals of motion in $\{\hat{S}^0_n\}$ are in involution, a basis simultaneously diagonalizing all of them cannot be found, that is (27) does not hold for all n, since otherwise simultaneous values could be assigned to mutually incompatible quantities, violating the uncertainty principle. Therefore, being free of the reasoning induced by (28), we conclude that our commutator algebra, (6), may be satisfied in these cases by a perturbation for which $[\hat{V},\hat{H}_0] \neq 0$. We then consider the universe of superintegrable systems as the domain where our results can be instantiated.

We contrast the present derivation of the approach to equilibrium with the equilibration *on average* suggested originally by von Neumann [35] for generic quantum systems, which may exhibit a kind of ergodic behavior when the time average of the expectation value of an observable

$$\overline{\langle\hat{A}(t)\rangle} = \lim_{\tau\to\infty}\frac{1}{\tau}\int_0^\tau \langle\hat{A}(t)\rangle dt, \tag{32}$$

coincides with its expectation value taken with a microcanonical ensemble. By expressing the nonequilibrium

density matrix in the basis, $\{|i\rangle\}$, of stationary states of the perturbed system, satisfying $\hat{H}|i\rangle = E_i|i\rangle$,

$$\overline{\langle \hat{A}(t)\rangle} = \sum_{ij} \sigma_{ij}\langle j|\hat{A}|i\rangle \Delta(\omega_{ij}), \tag{33}$$

where $\omega_{ij} = E_i - E_j$ and the σ_{ij}'s are the matrix elements of the initial density matrix. In the cases in which the perturbed system has *nondegenerate* spectra (generic or typical systems), meaning $\omega_{ij} = 0$ if and only if $i = j$, we have

$$\Delta(\omega_{ij}) \equiv \lim_{\tau\to\infty} \frac{1}{\tau}\int_0^\tau \exp(-i\omega_{ij}t)dt = \delta_{ij}, \tag{34}$$

and then the time average becomes the expectation value taken with a diagonal ensemble

$$\overline{\langle \hat{A}(t)\rangle} = \sum_{i} \sigma_{ii}\langle i|\hat{A}|i\rangle. \tag{35}$$

For generic systems satisfying the eigenstate thermalization hypothesis [10–12, 36], (35) can be argued to coincide with the expectation value taken with a microcanonical ensemble.

We then see that the equilibration of the restricted class of systems presented here is stronger than that for generic systems (which is an equilibration on average), since it represents the approach to a steady state of the entire density matrix. It shows, on a general basis, how a non-ergodic isolated quantum system may attain equilibrium.

V. ILLUSTRATING THE FORMALISM

We want to illustrate now the general procedure developed previously, by taking a concrete Γ matrix. For simplicity let us assume that the initial state is the grand canonical ensemble, i.e. $D = 3$, with $\{\hat{S}_n^0\}$ given by (3). The simplest form for the Γ matrix in this case is

$$\Gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b & 0 \\ b & a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

with $a \geq |b|$, which corresponds to the closed commutator algebra

$$i[\hat{V}, \hat{S}_1^0] = a\hat{S}_1^0 + b\hat{S}_2^0 \tag{36}$$

$$i[\hat{V}, \hat{S}_2^0] = b\hat{S}_1^0 + a\hat{S}_2^0. \tag{37}$$

The eigenvalues of Γ are

$$\gamma_1 = 0, \quad \gamma_2 = a - b, \quad \gamma_3 = a + b, \tag{38}$$

and from the eigenvectors, the transformation matrix M can be constructed to be

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1/\sqrt{2} & 1/\sqrt{2} \\ 0 & 1/\sqrt{2} & 1/\sqrt{2} \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The entropy operator is then

$$\hat{S}_t = e^{-(a+b)t}\,(\hat{S}_1^0 + \hat{S}_2^0) + \hat{S}_3^0, \tag{39}$$

whose commutator with the Hamiltonian of the perturbed system is, from (36) and (37),

$$i[\hat{H}, \hat{S}_t] = (a+b)\, e^{-(a+b)t}\,(\hat{S}_1^0 + \hat{S}_2^0). \tag{40}$$

We see from this that when $a \neq b$, the quench would apparently drive the system out of equilibrium since, from (40), $[\hat{\rho}_t, \hat{H}] \neq 0$ for finite times, and an equilibrium state ($[\hat{\rho}_\infty, \hat{H}] = 0$) would be attained at infinite time, with

$$\hat{\rho}_\infty = e^{-\hat{S}_3^0} = e^{\Omega/T}. \tag{41}$$

However, due to the low dimension, D, in this example, all integrals of motion are in involution and the discussion following (31) implies that the only *physically allowed* solution is the trivial dynamics, with $a = b = 0$. That is, since superintegrability cannot be supported in this low-dimensional "Γ-space" of initial integrals of motion, our main results cannot be instantiated. A more in-depth investigation in this direction is highly encouraged.

VI. CONCLUSION

We have shown that there exists a class of infinite isolated quantum systems prepared in equilibrium states for which the path followed by the nonequilibrium density matrix, after the system is quenched, can be proved to lead, at infinite times, to equilibrium generalized Gibbs ensembles. For this, it is sufficient to assume (and this defines the class) that the change in the Hamiltonian brought about by the quench satisfies a certain closed commutator algebra with all the integrals of motion of the unperturbed system. For integrable unperturbed systems, this algebra is satisfied only by perturbations commuting with the unperturbed Hamiltonian, which give trivial dynamics. Nontrivial cases may arise, however, if the unperturbed system is superintegrable.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I am grateful for the support from the Fulbright-Colciencias fellowship and the Columbia GSAS faculty fellowship.

[1] T. Kinoshita, T. Wenger, and D. S. Weiss, Nature **440**, 900 (2006).
[2] S. Hofferberth, I. Lesanovsky, B. Fischer, T. Schumm, and J. Schmiedmayer, Nature **449**, 324 (2007).
[3] S. Trotzky, Y.-A. Chen, A. Flesch, I. P. McCulloch, U. Schollwöck, J. Eisert, and I. Bloch, Nat. Phys. **8**, 325 (2012).
[4] M. Gring, M. Kuhnert, T. Langen, T. Kitagawa, B. Rauer, M. Schreitl, I. Mazets, D. A. Smith, E. Demler, and J. Schmiedmayer, Science **337**, 1318 (2012).
[5] M. Cheneau, P. Barmettler, D. Poletti, M. Endres, P. Schauss, T. Fukuhara, C. Gross, I. Bloch, C. Kollath, and S. Kuhr, Nature **481**, 484 (2012).
[6] A. Polkovnikov, K. Sengupta, A. Silva, and M. Vengalatorre, Rev. Mod. Phys. **83**, 863 (2011).
[7] V. I. Yukalov, Laser Phys. Lett. **8**, 485 (2011).
[8] J. Eisert, M. Friesdorf, and C. Gogolin, Nat. Phys. **11**, 124 (2015).
[9] P. Calabrese, EPJ web conf. **90**, 08001 (2015).
[10] J. M. Deutsch, Phys. Rev. A **43**, 2046 (1991).
[11] M. Srednicki, Phys. Rev. E **50**, 888 (1994).
[12] M. Rigol, V. Dunjko, and M. Olshanii, Nature **452**, 854 (2008).
[13] M. Rigol, V. Dunjko, V. Yurovsky, and M. Olshanii, Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 050405 (2007).
[14] J. W. Gibbs, *Elementary Principles in Statistical Mechanics* (C. Scribner's Sons, New York, 1902).
[15] E. Solano-Carrillo, Phys. Rev. E **92**, 042164 (2015).
[16] S. Hershfield, Phys. Rev. Lett. **70**, 2134 (1993).
[17] P. Dutt, J. Koch, J. E. Han, and K. L. Hur, Ann. Phys. **326**, 2963 (2011).
[18] R. Nandkishore and D. A. Huse, Annu. Rev. Condens. Matter Phys. **6**, 15 (2015).
[19] E. Solano-Carrillo and A. J. Millis, arXiv: 1509.04635.
[20] R. Zwanzig, Physica **30**, 1109 (1964).
[21] P. Mehta and N. Andrei, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 086804 (2008).
[22] L. Van Hove, Physica **23**, 441 (1957).
[23] I. Prigogine and P. Résibois, Physica **27**, 629 (1961).
[24] P. Résibois, Physica **27**, 541 (1961).
[25] S. Fujita, Physica **28**, 281 (1962).
[26] S. Nakajima, Prog. Theor. Phys. **20**, 948 (1958).
[27] R. Zwanzig, J. Chem. Phys. **33**, 1338 (1960).
[28] I. Prigogine and F. Henin, J. Math. Phys. **1**, 349 (1960).
[29] F. Henin, P. Résibois, and F. Andrews, J. Math. Phys. **2**, 68 (1961).
[30] H. Weyl, *The Theory of Groups and Quantum Mechanics* (Dover Publications, 1950).
[31] J. Rosenberg, *A Selective History of the Stone-von Neumann Theorem*, `http://www.math.umd.edu~jmr/StoneVNart.pdf`.
[32] W. Miller Jr, S. Post, and P. Winternitz, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **46**, 423001 (2013).
[33] M. Fagotti, J. Stat. Mech. p. P03016 (2014).
[34] B. Bertini and M. Fagotti, J. Stat. Mech. p. P07012 (2015).
[35] J. von Neumann, Z. Phys. **57**, 30 (1929).
[36] M. Rigol and M. Srednicki, Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 110601 (2012).